


Observations of Floodway Definition for the  
Congaree River in Richland and Lexington  
Counties, SC

by



## Overview


- USGS Review
- One-Dimensional –vs- Two-Dimensional Analysis
- Two Questions to be resolved
  - What is a Floodway?
  - With or Without Levee?
- Review of what has been done in Lexington/Richland County Floodway Determination

## USGS Review

- **Critical Points**

- Included in review done by [REDACTED] & [REDACTED]
  - Conservation of Mass Issues
    - Ignored in FEMA study
  - Convergence
- Values chosen to match FEMA study
  - Roughness Values
  - Calibration Data
    - Review done on model application, not base model
- Conclusions based on definitions
  - Model should not include levee
  - Model does not confirm "No Floodway"

## 1D Models




- **Assumptions**

- Flow perpendicular to cross sections
- Flat water surface across cross section
- Linear variation between cross sections

- **Limitations**

- Non continuous solution
- User defined effective flow regions


## 2D Models



- **Assumptions**
  - Negligible vertical component
  - Non stratified flow
  - All significant flow included in domain
- **Benefits**
  - Continuous solution
  - More flexible

## Calibration of 1D to 2D?

- Impossible if 2D conditions exist
- Curve in 2D – Straight Line in 1D



## Two Questions to be resolved

- **What is a Floodway**
  - Traditional Definition: Area adjacent to river preserved to convey floodwaters.
  - Exceptions: FEMA Appeal Resolution Document – Sept. 26, 2000
    - States objective of determining location, size and number of breaches
    - Indicates need for effective flow – (1 ft./sec)
- **With or Without Levee**
  - FEMA Standard – Remove Levee but exceptions implied
  - Affects the type of model to be used – but requires choice
    - Remove all existing structures and perform 1D analysis
    - Analyze existing conditions and perform 2D analysis



## Historical Summary

- **Complex Flow in Richland County**
  - Assumed due to complex system of levees
- **Confirmed by multiple studies**
  - 2D Analysis Performed w/Existing Conditions:
    - SCDOT – 1981, FEMA – 1999, Exponent – 2000
  - Exponent study calibrated to match FEMA study
    - February 2000 Model
  - Exponent study changed to evaluate worst case breaches
    - April 2000 Model
  - Exponent study revised to address concerns raised in peer review.

## Conclusion

- 2-D Flow Exists Behind Manning Levee
- 2-D Model Results should be basis of management decisions
  - Inappropriate to use a 1-D model to determine the floodway

## Extent of Area




## Number of Elements/Nodes

	FEMA	Exponent, Inc.
Elements	1612	5996
Nodes	3555	13526


 Environmental Modeling Research Laboratory

## Mesh Quality




 Environmental Modeling Research Laboratory

## Flow Trace Animation




**emri** Environmental Modeling Research Laboratory

## Scaled Velocity Vectors



**emri** Environmental Modeling Research Laboratory

## Velocity Parallel to River



**emrl** Environmental Modeling Research Laboratory

## Conclusion

**The Exponent Inc. Model Provides best representation of flow patterns.**

- Superior element quality
- Superior distribution of elements
- Superior representation of geometry

**emrl** Environmental Modeling Research Laboratory

## Options

- **Model with existing conditions – 2D.**
  - Manning Levee has affected flow and design in flood plain.
  - I-77 design based of flood plain, not floodway
  - FEMA model and appeal resolution implies this approach
- **Model idealized conditions – 1D.**
  - Remove Manning Levee
  - Remove I-77 and 12<sup>th</sup> Street embankments
  - Remove smaller levees downstream

## Conclusion

**2D Model Exists to give understanding of conditions**

**Flow in Richland County is not consistent with effective flow in a floodway.**

- Velocity parallel to river on Richland floodplain generally less than 0.4 ft/sec.